



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,024	06/09/2000	David Alan Burton	TUC92000014US1	3477

46917 - 7590 06/23/2005

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP.
ATTN: IBM37
315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE, SUITE 210
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212

EXAMINER

MIRZA, ADNAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2145

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/591,024

Applicant(s)

BURTON ET AL.

Examiner

Adnan M. Mirza

Art Unit

2145

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bare (U.S. 2003/0016624) and Hatakeyama (U.S. 6,542,468).

As per claims 1, 15, 29 Bare disclosed a method for selecting one of multiple data paths to a device, comprising: selecting one of multiple paths indicated as enabled to transmit data, wherein a path is indicated as enabled or disabled (Page. 3, col. 0030-0032);

However Bare did not disclose in details of gathering transfer time data for each enabled path capable of being selected; and indicating paths as disabled having transfer time data satisfying a threshold, wherein paths indicated as disabled are not capable of being selected to use to transmit data.

In the same field of endeavor Hatakeyama disclosed a method and a storage medium for autonomous selecting an optimum path by recording transmission data and response time per unit data length of response data, which is returned from a destination node to a transmission node, and by estimating the response time for each path by using the recorded information, when

Art Unit: 2145

the data requesting a service is transmitted from the transmission source node to the transmission destination node (col. 4, lines 53-60).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated a method and a storage medium for autonomous selecting an optimum path by recording transmission data and response time per unit data length of response data, which is returned from a destination node to a transmission node, and by estimating the response time for each path by using the recorded information, when the data requesting a service is transmitted from the transmission source node to the transmission destination node as disclosed by Hatakeyama in the method of Bare because with the conventional path selecting apparatuses, a repeater contagious to a client can be selected in static manner. That is, it is impossible to select a path in a dynamic manner as the performance of each repeater dynamically varies (col. 4, lines 31-36).

3. As per claims 2,16,30 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed indicating one disabled path as enabled after performing a threshold number of transfer operations (Hatakeyama, col. 5, lines 37-42).

4. As per claims 3,17,31 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed disabling the path for a first threshold number of transfer operations if the path has a transfer data time satisfying a first threshold; and disabling the path for a second threshold number of transfer operations if the path has a transfer data time satisfying a second threshold Hatakeyama, col. 11, lines 32-47).

5. As per claims 4,18,32 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein transfer time data is gathered by path and transfer size, wherein a path is disabled for a given transfer size and wherein one path disabled for one transfer size is capable of being enabled for at least one other transfer size (Bare, Page. 28, lines 0365-0367).
6. As per claims 5,19,33 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein gathering transfer time data further comprises: each enabled path, gathering a cumulative transfer time for all transfer operations during a measurement period through the path and a cumulative number of the transfer operations during the measurement period (Hatakeyama, col. 22, lines 40-56); and for each enabled path determining the average cumulative transfer time for the measurement period by dividing the cumulative time by the cumulative number of transfers, wherein the path is indicated as disabled if the average cumulative transfer time for the path satisfies the threshold (Hatakeyama, col. 22, lines 57-64).
7. As per claims 6,20,34 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein the measurement period comprises a number of transfer operations for all paths, wherein the determination to disable paths occurs after the number of transfer operations in the measurement period has occurred (Hatakeyama, col. 23, lines 14-19), and further comprising starting another measurement period to gather transfer time data after determining paths to disable (Hatakeyama, col. 23, lines 20-26).

Art Unit: 2145

8. As per claims 7,21,35 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein transfer time data is gathered by path and transfer size, and wherein the average cumulative transfer time is calculated for each enabled path and for at least one transfer size (Hatakeyama, col. 22, lines 40-56).

9. As per claims 8,22,36 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein the measurement period comprises a number of transfer operations for all paths for a transfer size, wherein the determination to disable paths for a transfer size occurs after the number of transfer operations in the measurement period has occurred (Hatakeyama, col.14, lines 54-64), and further comprising starting another measurement period to gather transfer time data for the transfer size after determining paths to disable for the transfer size (Hatakeyama, col. 15, lines 45-65).

10. As per claims 9,23,37 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein the transfer time is measured from the time the transfer is sent to the device to the time a response is received from the device indicating that the transfer completed, further comprising adding the transfer time for a 4 transfer transmitted down the path to the cumulative transfer time for the path (Hatakeyama, col. 22, lines 40-56).

11. As per claims 10,24,38 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed further comprising: for each enabled path, determining a best average transfer time from the average cumulative transfer times for all paths (Hatakeyama, col. 22, lines 40-56), wherein determining whether the average cumulative transfer time for one path satisfies the threshold comprises determining whether the

Art Unit: 2145

average cumulative transfer time for the path exceeds the best average transfer time by a percentage amount (Hatakeyama, col. 11, lines 48-59).

12. As per claims 11,25,39 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein determining whether the average cumulative transfer time satisfies the threshold further comprises disabling the path for a first number of transfer operations if the average cumulative transfer time for the path exceeds the best average transfer time by a first percentage amount and disabling the path for a second number of transfer operations if the average cumulative transfer time for the path exceeds the best average transfer time by a second percentage amount (Hatakeyama, col. 22, lines 40-56).

13. As per claims 12,26,40 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein the multiple paths comprise multiple paths between a first controller and a second controller, and wherein one path is selected to transmit updates to a primary storage area managed by the first controller to the second controller to store in a secondary storage area (Bare, Page. 18, col. 0239).

14. As per claims 13,27,41 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein transfer time data is gathered by path and a size of the update, wherein a path is disabled for a given update size and wherein the path is capable of being enabled for at least one other update size (Bare, Page. 20, col. 0266).

15. As per claims 14,28,42 Bare and Hatakeyama disclosed wherein the paths extend through a network (Bare, Page. 3, col. 0031-0032).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/02/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Response to the following arguments as follows.

16. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, “requirement of disabling the path for a first threshold number of transfer operations if the path has a transfer data time satisfying a first threshold and disabling the path for a second threshold number of transfer operations if the path has a transfer data time satisfying a second threshold”.

As to applicants argument HataKeyama disclosed, “the path calculating unit A requests an optimum estimation individual and an actual response time of the estimation information managing unit C. The path calculating unit can adjust the timing at which it request the estimation individual of the estimation information managing unit C according to a life span or a degree of fitness of the estimation individual in consideration of a communication load” (col. 10, lines 51-60).

17. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, “gathering transfer time data by path and transfer size and that a path is disabled for a given transfer size”.

As to applicants argument HataKeyama disclosed, “a method and a storage medium for autonomous selecting an optimum path by recording transmission data and response time per

Art Unit: 2145

unit data length of response data, which is returned from a destination node to a transmission node, and by estimating the response time for each path by using the recorded information, when the data requesting a service is transmitted from the transmission source node to the transmission destination node” (col. 4, lines 53-60).

18. Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose, “ A measurement period comprises a number of transfer operations for all paths” and “determining a best average transfer time from the average cumulative transfer times for all paths”.

As to applicants argument HataKeyama disclosed, “a method and a storage medium for autonomous selecting an optimum path by recording transmission data and response time per unit data length of response data, which is returned from a destination node to a transmission node, and by estimating the response time for each path by using the recorded information, when the data requesting a service is transmitted from the transmission source node to the transmission destination node” (col. 4, lines 53-60).

Conclusion

19. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

21. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin Wallace can be reached on (571)-272-6159. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

Art Unit: 2145

22. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

23. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4th Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II,
2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

V. Martin-Wallace
VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER